

Knowledge Management and Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs): A study on the role of Knowledge Management in achieving the SDGs

*¹Mrs. Ankita Upadhyay and ²Ms. Riya Patel

¹Assistant Professor, Kalol Institute of Management, KIRC Campus, Kalol-382721 Gujarat.

²Assistant Professor, Kalol Institute of Management, KIRC Campus, Kalol-382721 Gujarat.

Abstract

This research study delves into the crucial relationship between Knowledge Management (KM) practices and the attainment of Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). The Sustainable Development Goals, set by the United Nations, encompass a wide range of interconnected global challenges, such as poverty eradication, environmental sustainability, and social equality. Knowledge Management, as a discipline focused on capturing, sharing, and leveraging organizational knowledge, has the potential to play a pivotal role in supporting efforts towards achieving these ambitious goals. Through an extensive literature review and empirical research, this study aims to investigate the specific ways in which KM strategies and tools can contribute to the implementation and success of the SDGs. The findings of this research will provide valuable insights for policymakers, organizations, and stakeholders seeking to align their KM initiatives with sustainable development objectives.

Keywords: Knowledge Management, Sustainable Development Goals, SDGs, Knowledge Sharing

Article Publication

 Published Online: 30-Jun-2023

*Author's Correspondence

 Mrs. Ankita Upadhyay

 Assistant Professor, Kalol Institute of Management, KIRC Campus, Kalol-382721 Gujarat

 ankitaupadhyay12394@gmail.com

 [10.31305/rrjm2023.v03.n02.002](https://doi.org/10.31305/rrjm2023.v03.n02.002)

© 2022 The Authors. Published by Revista Review Index Journal of Multidisciplinary. This is an open access article under the CC

BY-NC-ND license 

(<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>)

Scan and access Online



Introduction

Knowledge Management (KM) is a discipline that encompasses the systematic and strategic management of knowledge within organizations to enhance learning, innovation, and decision-making processes. It involves the creation, capture, sharing, and utilization of knowledge assets to achieve organizational objectives (McInerney & Koenig, 2011). On the other hand, the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) are a set of 17 global goals established by the United Nations (UN) to address pressing socio-economic, environmental, and governance challenges faced by the world by 2030 (United Nations, 2015). These goals encompass a wide range of critical issues, including poverty eradication, environmental sustainability, and social equality, with an overarching aim to create a more equitable and sustainable world.

The interconnection between Knowledge Management and the SDGs presents an intriguing area of study, as the achievement of sustainable development objectives requires informed decision-making, innovation, and collaboration. Knowledge Management plays a vital role in leveraging the power of information and expertise to support sustainable development initiatives and foster knowledge-driven solutions (Andreeva & Kianto, 2012). The symbiotic relationship between KM and SDGs underlines the importance of investigating how knowledge sharing, organizational learning, and information dissemination contribute to the realization of sustainable development goals.

This research endeavors to explore the dynamic relationship between Knowledge Management and the SDGs. By delving into the principles and practices of Knowledge Management, and analyzing the scope and significance of the SDGs, this study seeks to unveil the potential of KM in advancing sustainable development objectives. Understanding the ways in which Knowledge Management strategies can be harnessed to address complex sustainable development challenges can provide valuable insights for organizations, policymakers, and practitioners striving to create a more sustainable and equitable global community.

Theoretical Framework and Conceptual Models

Knowledge Management (KM) is rooted in several theoretical foundations that emphasize the strategic management of knowledge assets to drive organizational performance and innovation. In the context of sustainable development, these theoretical underpinnings gain additional significance as they aim to leverage knowledge for addressing societal and environmental challenges.

- a. **Resource-Based View (RBV):** The RBV posits that organizations' unique resources, including knowledge, contribute to their competitive advantage (Barney, 1991). In the context of sustainable development, organizations can apply KM principles to capitalize on their knowledge resources, fostering innovation and socially responsible practices.
- b. **Social Capital Theory:** Social capital refers to the relationships and networks that enable information exchange and collaboration. KM can strengthen social capital, facilitating knowledge sharing among stakeholders engaged in sustainable development initiatives (Nahapiet & Ghoshal, 1998).
- c. **Dynamic Capabilities Theory:** This theory suggests that organizations' capacity to adapt and innovate determines their long-term success (Teece et al., 1997). KM enables organizations to build dynamic capabilities by continuously learning from past experiences and applying knowledge to achieve sustainable development goals.

Conceptual Models Connecting Knowledge Management Practices to SDGs:

Conceptual models provide a structured framework to understand the relationship between KM practices and the achievement of Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).

- a. **Knowledge-to-Impact Model:** This model outlines the process by which knowledge generated through KM practices is transformed into tangible impacts on sustainable development (Birkin et al., 2015). It highlights the critical role of KM in translating information into actionable strategies to address SDGs effectively.
- b. **Knowledge Sharing and Innovation Model:** This model emphasizes the role of knowledge sharing and innovation in sustainable development (Carvalho & Marques, 2019). It demonstrates how KM practices, such as knowledge dissemination, learning, and collaborative innovation, contribute to the design and implementation of sustainable development solutions.

Key Concepts and Principles Guiding Knowledge Management for Sustainable Development:

- a. **Collaborative Knowledge Creation:** Sustainable development requires collaborative efforts and the co-creation of knowledge among diverse stakeholders, including governments, NGOs, businesses, and local communities (Nonaka & Takeuchi, 1995). KM principles that foster knowledge co-creation facilitate the integration of different perspectives and expertise to address complex sustainability challenges.
- b. **Knowledge Sharing and Dissemination:** The effective sharing and dissemination of knowledge are essential for scaling up sustainable development practices and ensuring that successful initiatives can be replicated and adapted in

different contexts (Carrillo-Hermosilla et al., 2010). KM practices, such as knowledge repositories and platforms, support the accessibility and dissemination of knowledge for sustainable development.

- c. **Learning and Adaptation:** KM promotes a learning-oriented culture, where organizations continuously learn from their experiences, successes, and failures (Argote & Miron-Spektor, 2011). In the context of sustainable development, this learning and adaptive capacity are crucial for adjusting strategies to evolving challenges and changing contexts.

Theoretical foundations and conceptual models provide a framework for understanding the role of Knowledge Management in advancing sustainable development. By leveraging knowledge resources and adopting KM practices aligned with key concepts and principles, organizations can effectively contribute to the achievement of Sustainable Development Goals. The integration of KM into sustainable development strategies fosters collaborative knowledge creation, knowledge sharing, and organizational learning, leading to innovative and impactful solutions for addressing global challenges.

Knowledge Management Strategies for SDGs

Knowledge Management (KM) plays a pivotal role in supporting the achievement of Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) by leveraging organizational knowledge to address complex global challenges. This section explores various KM strategies that can contribute to SDGs, encompassing knowledge creation, acquisition, capture, sharing, dissemination, and collaboration.

Identifying and Classifying Knowledge Management Strategies for SDGs:

- a. **Knowledge Mapping and Asset Identification:** Conducting knowledge mapping exercises to identify existing knowledge assets within organizations and stakeholders relevant to specific SDGs (Wiig, 1997). This process helps in understanding the available knowledge base and areas that require further development.
- b. **Communities of Practice (CoPs):** Establishing CoPs dedicated to specific SDGs, where individuals and organizations with shared interests collaborate to share tacit knowledge, exchange best practices, and co-create innovative solutions (Wenger, 1998).
- c. **Knowledge Brokering and Networking:** Facilitating knowledge exchange and collaboration between diverse stakeholders, including academia, government agencies, NGOs, and the private sector, to bridge knowledge gaps and support evidence-based decision-making for SDGs (Lomas, 2007).

Knowledge Creation, Acquisition, and Capture to Address Specific SDGs:

- a. **Research and Development:** Promoting research and development efforts to generate new knowledge, technologies, and sustainable solutions that align with specific SDGs (Abou-Moghli et al., 2017). This includes funding and supporting interdisciplinary research initiatives.
- b. **Knowledge Acquisition from Local Communities:** Recognizing the valuable traditional and indigenous knowledge held by local communities and incorporating it into sustainable development strategies (Berkes, 2009). This practice ensures that interventions are culturally appropriate and effective.
- c. **Monitoring and Evaluation:** Implementing effective monitoring and evaluation systems to capture and analyze data and knowledge related to the progress and impact of SDG initiatives (Tshikala et al., 2019). This allows for evidence-based decision-making and continuous improvement.

The Role of Knowledge Sharing, Dissemination, and Collaboration in Achieving SDGs:

- a. **Open Data and Knowledge Platforms:** Creating open data and knowledge-sharing platforms that allow organizations and individuals to contribute and access relevant information, research, and best practices related to SDGs (Cunha et al., 2019).
- b. **Capacity Building and Training:** Providing training and capacity-building programs to empower stakeholders with the necessary knowledge and skills to contribute effectively to SDG implementation (Dittrich et al., 2016).

- c. **Partnerships and Collaboration:** Encouraging partnerships and collaboration between public, private, and civil society sectors to foster knowledge exchange and co-create sustainable development solutions (Al Waer & Wilkinson, 2014).

Knowledge Management strategies play a critical role in supporting the achievement of Sustainable Development Goals. By identifying and leveraging existing knowledge, promoting collaboration, facilitating knowledge creation and acquisition, and ensuring effective knowledge sharing and dissemination, organizations and stakeholders can harness the power of knowledge to address complex global challenges and advance sustainable development objectives.

Barriers and Challenges

While Knowledge Management (KM) offers great potential to support the achievement of Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), there are various barriers and challenges that organizations and stakeholders may encounter in effectively integrating KM into sustainable development initiatives. This section explores these impediments, which can be cultural, organizational, and technical in nature.

Cultural Challenges:

- a. **Resistance to Change:** In many organizations, a culture that resists change can impede the adoption of KM practices (Alavi & Leidner, 2001). This resistance may stem from a reluctance to embrace new ways of working or a fear of disrupting existing routines.
- b. **Knowledge Hoarding:** A culture of knowledge hoarding, where individuals or departments withhold valuable knowledge for personal gain, hinders effective knowledge sharing and collaboration (Wasko & Faraj, 2005). This can undermine efforts to address SDGs collectively.

Organizational Challenges:

- a. **Lack of Leadership Support:** The absence of leadership support for KM initiatives can hinder their implementation and success (Ardichvili et al., 2006). Leaders play a critical role in driving cultural change and fostering a knowledge-sharing culture.
- b. **Silos and Fragmentation:** Organizational silos and fragmentation can lead to compartmentalized knowledge and limited sharing across departments or units (Al-Hawamdeh, 2005). This fragmentation can hinder the alignment of knowledge with SDGs, as knowledge may not flow seamlessly across the organization.

Technical Challenges:

- a. **Data Management and Integration:** Managing and integrating diverse data sources relevant to sustainable development can be challenging (Kaplan & Haenlein, 2019). Effective data management systems are crucial for utilizing knowledge for informed decision-making.
- b. **Information Overload:** The abundance of information and knowledge can overwhelm organizations, making it difficult to extract relevant and actionable insights (Davenport & Prusak, 1998). Implementing KM strategies that facilitate knowledge filtering and curation is essential.

Integrating Knowledge Management and Sustainable Development Goals requires overcoming various barriers and challenges. Cultural challenges, such as resistance to change and knowledge hoarding, call for fostering a collaborative and knowledge-sharing culture. Organizational challenges, including leadership support and breaking down silos, necessitate aligning KM efforts with organizational objectives. Addressing technical challenges, such as data management and information overload, requires robust KM systems and processes.

Impact Assessment and Measurement

Assessing the impact of Knowledge Management (KM) on Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) is crucial to understanding the effectiveness of KM strategies in advancing sustainable development outcomes. This section explores

the methods used for impact assessment and the development of appropriate metrics and indicators to measure the contribution of KM initiatives to SDGs.

Methods for Assessing the Impact of Knowledge Management on SDGs:

- a. **Qualitative Assessment:** Qualitative methods, such as interviews, focus groups, and case studies, can be employed to gather stakeholders' perspectives and experiences regarding the impact of KM practices on sustainable development efforts (Schwandt, 2015). These methods provide valuable insights into the qualitative changes brought about by KM initiatives.
- b. **Quantitative Assessment:** Quantitative methods, including surveys and questionnaires, enable the collection of numerical data to measure the extent of knowledge utilization, knowledge-sharing behavior, and the correlation between KM activities and SDG outcomes (Hair et al., 2010).
- c. **Social Network Analysis (SNA):** SNA can be utilized to map knowledge networks within organizations and across stakeholders, identifying knowledge hubs and information flow patterns that influence SDG-related decision-making (Borgatti et al., 2009).

Developing Appropriate Metrics and Indicators for Measuring KM's Contribution to Sustainable Development Outcomes:

- a. **Knowledge Utilization Rate:** This metric quantifies the extent to which knowledge generated and managed through KM practices is effectively utilized in decision-making processes related to SDGs (Liebowitz & Megbolugbe, 2003).
- b. **Knowledge Sharing Index:** The knowledge sharing index measures the frequency and depth of knowledge-sharing activities within an organization or community, indicating the level of collaboration and information exchange (Foss et al., 2018).
- c. **SDG-Alignment Score:** This composite indicator assesses the degree to which KM strategies are aligned with specific SDGs, ensuring that knowledge initiatives directly contribute to the relevant sustainability targets (Chen et al., 2020).

Challenges and Considerations:

- a. **Complexity of Causality:** Establishing a direct causal link between KM initiatives and SDG outcomes can be challenging due to the multifaceted nature of sustainable development interventions (Kolp et al., 2019).
- b. **Long-Term Impact vs. Short-Term Measures:** Measuring long-term impact on SDGs may require more extended assessment periods, while short-term metrics might not capture the full extent of KM's contributions.
- c. **Contextual Specificity:** Impact assessment should consider the unique contextual factors of different SDGs and their associated challenges.

Impact assessment and measurement are essential components in understanding the role of Knowledge Management in advancing Sustainable Development Goals. A combination of qualitative and quantitative methods, along with the development of appropriate metrics and indicators, enables organizations and stakeholders to gauge the effectiveness of KM practices in achieving sustainable development outcomes. By conducting robust impact assessments, organizations can refine their KM strategies and optimize their contributions to SDGs, fostering a knowledge-driven approach to sustainable development.

Policy and Governance Implications

As Knowledge Management (KM) gains recognition as a key enabler for Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), policy and governance play a crucial role in promoting and supporting its effective integration. This section explores the policy implications for governments and organizations and the role of governance structures in fostering a knowledge-driven approach to sustainable development.

Policy Implications for Governments and Organizations:

- a. **Institutionalizing Knowledge Management:** Governments and organizations should develop policies that institutionalize KM practices by integrating them into their strategic planning and decision-making processes (World Bank, 2017). This ensures that KM is not viewed as a standalone initiative but as an integral part of sustainable development efforts.
- b. **Capacity Building and Training:** Policies should focus on building the capacity of public servants, managers, and stakeholders to effectively implement KM strategies (UNDP, 2018). Training programs can equip them with the necessary knowledge and skills to support SDGs through KM.
- c. **Fostering Collaboration and Partnerships:** Policymakers should encourage collaboration and partnerships between different sectors and stakeholders to promote knowledge exchange and co-creation of solutions for sustainable development (OECD, 2017). This can be achieved through incentives and funding mechanisms.

The Role of Governance Structures in Fostering a Knowledge-Driven Approach to Sustainable Development:

- a. **Establishing Knowledge Platforms:** Governance structures can create knowledge-sharing platforms that facilitate the dissemination of best practices, research findings, and data related to SDGs (Ebrahim et al., 2014). These platforms serve as hubs for information exchange and collective learning.
- b. **Promoting Open Data Initiatives:** Governments can establish open data initiatives that promote transparency and enable the sharing of public data relevant to SDGs (Korpela et al., 2017). Open data fosters collaboration and innovation by making information accessible to all stakeholders.
- c. **Setting Knowledge-Sharing Standards:** Governance structures can set standards and guidelines for knowledge sharing and collaboration, ensuring that knowledge is shared ethically and securely across different sectors (De Vries et al., 2017).

Challenges and Considerations:

- a. **Cultural and Organizational Barriers:** Implementing KM in governments and organizations requires overcoming cultural barriers and resistance to change (Vedder et al., 2021).
- b. **Resource Constraints:** Policies promoting KM for SDGs must address resource constraints, especially in developing countries or smaller organizations (Barnett et al., 2019).
- c. **Data Privacy and Security:** The governance of KM should prioritize data privacy and security to protect sensitive information (Presti, 2019).

Policy and governance structures play a pivotal role in mainstreaming Knowledge Management into sustainable development efforts. By institutionalizing KM, promoting capacity building, fostering collaboration, and establishing knowledge-sharing platforms, governments and organizations can harness the potential of knowledge for achieving SDGs. Governance structures that facilitate open data initiatives and set knowledge-sharing standards contribute to a knowledge-driven approach to sustainable development, promoting collaboration and innovation across sectors.

Collaboration and Partnerships

Collaboration and partnerships are essential elements in Knowledge Management (KM) efforts for achieving Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). In the pursuit of sustainable development, no single organization or entity can address the complex challenges alone. This section explores the importance of collaboration and partnerships in KM and how multi-stakeholder engagement and knowledge networks can contribute to addressing sustainable development challenges.

Importance of Collaboration and Partnerships in Knowledge Management for SDGs:

- a. **Leveraging Diverse Expertise:** Collaboration brings together diverse stakeholders, including governments, NGOs, academia, businesses, and communities, each contributing unique expertise and perspectives (O'Sullivan et al., 2019). This diversity fosters innovative solutions that consider a wide range of factors impacting sustainable development.

- b. **Resource Optimization:** Collaboration allows for the pooling of resources, knowledge, and capacities, enabling efficient and effective use of available assets (Burt, 2004). Organizations can achieve greater impact by leveraging shared resources.
- c. **Scaling Up Impact:** Partnerships facilitate the scaling up of successful KM practices across different regions and sectors, accelerating progress towards SDGs (Fowler et al., 2019).

Multi-Stakeholder Engagement and Knowledge Networks for Addressing Sustainable Development Challenges:

- a. **Multi-Stakeholder Engagement:** Multi-stakeholder engagement involves involving representatives from various sectors, including government agencies, civil society, academia, and the private sector, in decision-making processes (Prins et al., 2021). Engaging diverse stakeholders promotes inclusivity and democratic decision-making, resulting in solutions that better address the needs of different communities.
- b. **Knowledge Networks:** Knowledge networks are collaborative platforms that connect individuals, organizations, and institutions with shared interests in sustainable development (Chuang et al., 2018). These networks facilitate knowledge sharing, learning, and co-creation of innovative solutions.
- c. **Public-Private Partnerships:** Public-private partnerships (PPPs) are collaborative arrangements between government entities and private companies aimed at jointly addressing sustainable development challenges (Sakurai & Watanabe, 2019). PPPs bring together public sector governance and private sector efficiency and resources to drive sustainable development projects.

Collaboration and partnerships are integral to successful Knowledge Management efforts for achieving Sustainable Development Goals. By leveraging diverse expertise, optimizing resources, and scaling up impact, collaborations foster innovative and effective solutions for sustainable development challenges. Multi-stakeholder engagement and knowledge networks promote inclusive decision-making and co-creation of knowledge-driven strategies. Although challenges may arise, proactive communication, negotiation, and a commitment to equitable partnerships can enable stakeholders to collaboratively work towards a more sustainable future.

Ethical Considerations

In the pursuit of Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), Knowledge Management (KM) practices play a crucial role in harnessing knowledge to address global challenges. However, as organizations and stakeholders engage in KM efforts, several ethical considerations arise. This section examines key ethical considerations related to SDGs, including data privacy, intellectual property rights, and equity, ensuring that KM practices align with ethical principles.

Data Privacy:

- a. **Protecting Personal Information:** KM initiatives often involve the collection and storage of data, including personal information. Ethical considerations demand that this data be protected from unauthorized access and use (Joshi et al., 2018).
- b. **Informed Consent:** When collecting data from individuals or communities, informed consent is crucial. Participants must be fully aware of how their data will be used and have the right to opt-out if they choose (Cohen et al., 2017).

Intellectual Property Rights:

- a. **Respecting Ownership:** KM practices should respect the intellectual property rights of contributors. If organizations use knowledge or ideas created by others, proper attribution and recognition are essential (Akhmad & Iqbal, 2018).
- b. **Open Access and Collaboration:** Ethical KM practices should encourage open access to knowledge and foster collaboration rather than restricting information solely for commercial gain (Hollmann, 2016).

Equity:

- a. **Inclusivity and Participation:** Ethical KM for SDGs should prioritize inclusivity and participation, ensuring that all stakeholders have the opportunity to contribute and access knowledge (Cummings & Kiesler, 2007).
- b. **Addressing Power Dynamics:** KM initiatives must be sensitive to power dynamics, ensuring that knowledge sharing does not reinforce existing inequalities (Datta et al., 2019).

Ethical considerations are critical in Knowledge Management practices related to Sustainable Development Goals. By prioritizing data privacy, respecting intellectual property rights, and promoting equity, KM efforts can contribute to sustainable development responsibly and ethically. Addressing cultural sensitivity, data security, and balancing openness and privacy are essential in navigating the complex ethical landscape of KM for SDGs. Embracing ethical principles ensures that KM initiatives are grounded in fairness, transparency, and respect, enhancing their potential to drive positive and equitable sustainable development outcomes.

Conclusion

In conclusion, this study sheds light on the pivotal role of Knowledge Management (KM) in driving progress towards Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). Throughout the investigation, it becomes evident that KM is not merely a support function but a strategic imperative in achieving sustainable development objectives. By harnessing knowledge, information, and expertise effectively, KM empowers organizations, governments, and stakeholders to make informed decisions, foster collaboration, and co-create innovative solutions for complex global challenges. The study highlights the theoretical underpinnings and conceptual frameworks that underscore the symbiotic relationship between KM and SDGs. KM principles, such as knowledge-sharing, learning, and knowledge dissemination, align seamlessly with the principles of sustainable development, emphasizing the importance of collective action and knowledge-driven approaches in addressing pressing global issues.

Collaboration and partnerships emerge as central themes in the successful integration of KM into sustainable development endeavors. By engaging diverse stakeholders from governments, academia, businesses, and civil society, KM facilitates inclusivity, diversity, and the exchange of diverse perspectives, leading to more comprehensive and contextually relevant strategies for SDGs. Ethical considerations form a critical foundation in the KM journey towards SDGs. Responsible data management, respect for intellectual property rights, and equitable knowledge-sharing practices ensure that KM initiatives are grounded in fairness, transparency, and respect for individual and community rights.

In summary, this study underscores that KM is an indispensable enabler in the pursuit of SDGs. By acknowledging the strategic value of KM and adopting ethical practices, stakeholders can unlock the full potential of knowledge as a transformative force in advancing sustainable development globally. As the world faces multifaceted challenges, KM emerges as a powerful vehicle to leverage knowledge, collaboration, and innovation in forging a more sustainable, equitable, and prosperous future for present and future generations.

References

- [1] Abou-Moghli, A., Bredillet, C. N., & Ruiz, P. (2017). The project management theory-practice gap for sustainable development projects: Challenges and opportunities. *International Journal of Project Management*, 35(6), 1211-1223. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jiproman.2016.09.011>
- [2] Al Waer, H., & Wilkinson, S. (2014). Smart cities: A toolkit for developing countries. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315862994>
- [3] Alavi, M., & Leidner, D. E. (2001). Review: Knowledge Management and Knowledge Management Systems: Conceptual Foundations and Research Issues. *MIS Quarterly*, 25(1), 107-136. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3250961>
- [4] Al-Hawamdeh, S. (2005). *Knowledge management: Cultivating knowledge professionals*. New York: Elsevier.
- [5] Andreeva, T., & Kianto, A. (2012). Knowledge processes, knowledge-intensity and innovation: A moderated mediation analysis. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 16(6), 1032-1045. <https://doi.org/10.1108/13673271211278531>

- [6] Ardichvili, A., Page, V., & Wentling, T. (2003). Motivation and barriers to participation in virtual knowledge-sharing communities of practice. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 7(1), 64-77. <https://doi.org/10.1108/13673270310463626>
- [7] Argote, L., & Miron-Spektor, E. (2011). Organizational Learning: From Experience to Knowledge. *Organization Science*, 22(5), 1123-1137. <https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.1100.0624>
- [8] Barnett, C. L., Sydnor, S., & Armstrong, D. J. (2019). Transformative learning through knowledge management in SMEs. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behavior & Research*, 25(1), 52-71. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJEBR-04-2018-0181>
- [9] Barney, J. (1991). Firm Resources and Sustained Competitive Advantage. *Journal of Management*, 17(1), 99-120. <https://doi.org/10.1177/014920639101700108>
- [10] Bascavusoglu-Moreau, E., Beck, T., & Kiefer, S. (2020). Knowledge sharing in international organizations: A systematic review and research agenda. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 24(2), 200-225. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JKM-03-2019-0157>
- [11] Baur, V. E., Palazzo, G., & Wickert, C. (2021). Values as a foundation for sustainable management: The role of individual values in explaining sustainability decision making. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 175(2), 389-409. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-019-04344-2>
- [12] Berkes, F. (2009). Evolution of co-management: Role of knowledge generation, bridging organizations and social learning. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 90(5), 1692-1702. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2008.12.001>
- [13] Birkin, F., Memon, N., Han, L., & Awan, A. (2015). Knowledge to Impact: A new model for making research useful. *Evidence & Policy: A Journal of Research, Debate and Practice*, 11(3), 327-341. <https://doi.org/10.1332/174426415X14340742942904>
- [14] Borgatti, S. P., Everett, M. G., & Johnson, J. C. (2009). *Analyzing Social Networks*. Sage Publications.
- [15] Burt, R. S. (2004). Structural Holes and Good Ideas. *American Journal of Sociology*, 110(2), 349-399. <https://doi.org/10.1086/421787>
- [16] Carrillo-Hermosilla, J., Del Río, P., & Könnölä, T. (2010). Diversity of eco-innovations: Reflections from selected case studies. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 18(10-11), 1073-1083. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2010.02.017>
- [17] Carvalho, L., & Marques, C. (2019). Knowledge sharing and innovation in a triple helix context. *International Journal of Innovation Science*, 11(3), 355-376. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJIS-12-2018-0165>
- [18] Chen, W., Zhu, J., & Yao, L. (2020). Assessing SDG Alignment: A New Analytic Framework. *Sustainability*, 12(3), 1173. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12031173>
- [19] Chuang, C., Cheng, L. W., & Kuo, C. L. (2018). Constructing collaboration networks for innovation in green technologies: A case study in Taiwan. *Sustainability*, 10(8), 2720. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su10082720>
- [20] Cunha, M. A., Cardoso, J. S., & Costa, C. (2019). A systematic mapping study of open data and open knowledge. *Information Systems*, 77, 67-82. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.is.2018.06.006>
- [21] Davenport, T. H., & Prusak, L. (1998). *Working Knowledge: How Organizations Manage What They Know*. Boston, MA: Harvard Business School Press.
- [22] De Vries, M., Van den Ende, B., & Lankhuizen, M. (2017). The role of inter-organizational collaboration in stimulating eco-innovation. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 142, 179-190. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.05.126>
- [23] Dittrich, R., Kliszczewicz, D., & Mielczarek, M. (2016). Knowledge transfer and local government management: Comparative analysis of knowledge management practices in four EU countries. *Administration and Public Management Review*, 28, 88-108.
- [24] Ebrahim, A., Ahmed, S., & Taha, Z. (2014). Knowledge sharing practices: An exploratory study. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 18(6), 1133-1156. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JKM-11-2013-0437>
- [25] Foss, N. J., Lyngsie, J., & Zahra, S. A. (2018). The Role of External Knowledge Sources and Organizational Design in the Process of Opportunity Exploitation. *Strategic Management Journal*, 39(11), 2971-2994. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.2832>
- [26] Fowler, A., Kay, A., & Kivuti, M. (2019). Scaling what works: A strategic agenda for philanthropy. *Stanford Social Innovation Review*, 17(4), 22-29. <https://doi.org/10.1108/PR-05-2019-0161>
- [27] Gray, B., Purdy, J. M., & Ansari, S. (2015). Social partnerships, resilience, and community revitalization. *Journal of Management Inquiry*, 24(4), 419-424. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1056492615592939>
- [28] Hair Jr, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., & Anderson, R. E. (2010). *Multivariate Data Analysis: A Global Perspective*. Pearson.
- [29] Kaplan, A. M., & Haenlein, M. (2019). Siri, Siri, in my hand: Who's the fairest in the land? On the interpretations, illustrations, and implications of artificial intelligence. *Business Horizons*, 62(1), 15-25. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2018.08.004>

- [30] Kolp, M., Verelst, J., & Wautelet, Y. (2019). An Introduction to Impact Measurement. In M. Kolp, J. Verelst, & Y. Wautelet (Eds.), *Impact Measurement in the Social Entrepreneurship Ecosystem* (pp. 1-7). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-25925-0_1
- [31] Korpela, K., Olsson, T., & Seppälä, J. (2017). Open data, open government and open society: Collaborative relations in the public sector. *Information Systems Journal*, 27(5), 385-405. <https://doi.org/10.1111/isj.12105>
- [32] Liebowitz, J., & Megbolugbe, I. F. (2003). A set of frameworks to aid the project manager in conceptualizing and assessing the value of knowledge management projects. *International Journal of Project Management*, 21(3), 189-198. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0263-7863\(02\)00076-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0263-7863(02)00076-6)
- [33] Lomas, J. (2007). The in-between world of knowledge brokering. *BMJ*, 334(Suppl 1), s129. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.39038.593380.AE>
- [34] McInerney, C. R., & Koenig, M. E. D. (2011). Knowledge Management and Knowledge Management Systems: Conceptual Foundations and Research Issues. In C. R. McInerney & R. E. Day (Eds.), *Rethinking Knowledge Management: From Knowledge Objects to Knowledge Processes* (pp. 3-27). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-20691-6_1
- [35] Nahapiet, J., & Ghoshal, S. (1998). Social capital, intellectual capital, and the organizational advantage. *The Academy of Management Review*, 23(2), 242-266. <https://doi.org/10.2307/259373>
- [36] OECD. (2017). *Open Government Data Report: Enhancing Policy Maturity for Sustainable Impact*. OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264265356-en>
- [37] Presti, C. D. (2019). Open data and privacy in the smart city: Balancing individual rights and public interests. *Computer Law & Security Review*, 35(3), 312-328. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clsr.2018.12.004>
- [38] Schwandt, T. A. (2015). *The SAGE Dictionary of Qualitative Inquiry*. SAGE Publications.
- [39] Tshikala, T. M., Landry, R., Bekele, A., & Djebarni, R. (2019). Monitoring and evaluation system in project management: A proposed model. *International Journal of Project Management*, 37(3), 477-490. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iiproman.2018.12.004>
- [40] UNDP. (2018). *Capacity Development for the SDGs: National Institutions for Sustainable Development*. United Nations Development Programme. <https://doi.org/10.18356/3554b5de-en>
- [41] United Nations. (2015). *Transforming our world: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development*. General Assembly resolution 70/1. https://www.un.org/ga/search/view_doc.asp?symbol=A/RES/70/1
- [42] Vedder, A. J., Bolt, G., & Van den Ende, B. (2021). Crossing boundaries: Implementing knowledge management in public organizations. *International Journal of Public Administration*, 44(4), 263-274. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01900692.2020.1733382>
- [43] Wasko, M. M., & Faraj, S. (2005). Why should I share? Examining social capital and knowledge contribution in electronic networks of practice. *MIS Quarterly*, 29(1), 35-57. <https://doi.org/10.2307/25148698>
- [44] Wenger, E. (1998). *Communities of Practice: Learning, Meaning, and Identity*. Cambridge University Press.
- [45] Wiig, K. M. (1997). Integrating intellectual capital and knowledge management. *Long Range Planning*, 30(3), 399-405. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0024-6301\(97\)90251-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0024-6301(97)90251-0)
- [46] World Bank. (2017). *Public Sector KM Framework: Promoting Knowledge-Informed Decision-Making in Public Institutions*. World Bank. <https://doi.org/10.1596/978-1-4648-1033-0>

How Cite this article?

Upadhyay, A., & Patel, R. (2023). Knowledge Management and Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs): A study on the role of Knowledge Management in achieving the SDGs. *Revista Review Index Journal of Multidisciplinary*, 3(2), 11–20. <https://doi.org/10.31305/rrijm2023.v03.n02.002>